

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 03 2008

PATENT
YOR919960049BX2


IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	:	Marie Angelopoulos, et al.
Serial Number	:	09/346,353
Filing Date	:	July 2, 1999
Examiner	:	Tae H Yoon
Group Art Unit	:	1796
For	:	METHOD OF FABRICATING PLASTICIZED, ANTIPLASTICIZED AND CRYSTALLINE CONDUCTING POLYMERS AND PRECURSORS THEREOF

TO: The Honorable Commissioner of Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated November 19, 2008, Applicants submit herewith a revised copy of the amendment filed June 13, 2007 that contains a correction to the status of Claims 16, 25 and 42, and now properly describes them as being "*Previously Presented*."

Very Truly Yours,


Thomas A. Beck, Esq.
Registration No. 20,816
6136 West Kimberly Way
Glendale, AZ 85308-7627

I hereby certify that a this paper are being transmitted via telefax to (571) 273-8300 on the date indicated below, addressed to Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks, Post Office Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signature: 

Date: December 3, 2008

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****DEC 03 2008****PATENT
YOR919960049XB****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of : Marie Angelopoulos, et al.
Serial Number : 09/346,353
Filing Date : July 2, 1999
Examiner : T. Yoon
Group Art Unit : 1714
For : METHODS OF FABRICATING
PLASTICIZED, ANTIPLASTICIZED AND
CRYSTALLINE CONDUCTING
POLYMERS AND PRECURSORS
THEREOF

The Honorable Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Official Action dated December 13, 2006, please amend the Claims as set forth in Appendix A attached hereto.

REMARKS

Applicants submit a new page 8 with the language referring to the Figures suggested by the Examiner.

Applicants have amended the claims to include reference to "conjugated dienes" as a means of distinguishing the claims over the prior art. The basis for this language is found in the specification on page 2, line 6. The Examiner previously rejected this language asserting that there was no basis in the specification for the language. Page 2, line 6 unequivocally states: " These polymers (i.e., those defined in Claim 9 of the application)